

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE CABINET
HELD ON WEDNESDAY, 9 MARCH 2011**

COUNCILLORS

PRESENT Doug Taylor (Leader of the Council), Achilleas Georgiou (Deputy Leader, Public and Service Delivery), Chris Bond (Cabinet Member for Environment, Street Scene and Parks), Bambos Charalambous (Cabinet Member for Young People and Culture, Leisure, Sports and the Olympics), Del Goddard (Cabinet Member for Regeneration and Improving Localities), Donald McGowan (Cabinet Member for Older People and Adult Social Services), Ayfer Orhan (Cabinet Member for Education and Children's Services), Ahmet Oykenner (Cabinet Member for Housing and Area Improvements) and Andrew Stafford (Cabinet Member for Finance, Facilities and Human Resources)

ABSENT Chaudhury Anwar MBE (Cabinet Member for Community Cohesion and Capacity Building in the Third Sector)

OFFICERS: Rob Leak (Chief Executive), James Rolfe (Director of Finance and Corporate Resources), Andrew Fraser (Director of Schools & Children's Services), Neil Rousell (Director of Regeneration, Leisure & Culture), Ian Davis (Director of Environment), Ray James (Director of Health, Housing and Adult Social Care), Asmat Hussain (Assistant Director Legal), John Austin (Assistant Director - Corporate Governance), Geoff Waterton (Head of Collection Services), Suzanne Linsey (Press Officer), Nathalie Boateng (Principal Lawyer), Julie Mimmagh (Head of HR Operations), Alison Trew (Head of Corporate Policy and Performance) and Neil Vokes (Project Manager) Jacqui Hurst (Secretary)

Also Attending: Councillor Henry Lamprecht.

1

APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

An apology for absence was received from Councillor Chaudhury Anwar (Cabinet Member for Community Cohesion and Capacity Building in the Third Sector).

An apology for lateness was received from Councillor Ayfer Orhan (Cabinet Member for Education and Children's Services).

2

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

There were no declarations of interest.

3

URGENT ITEMS

NOTED that the reports listed on the agenda had been circulated in accordance with the requirements of the Council's Constitution and the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Amendment Regulations 2002. These requirements state that agendas and reports should be circulated at least 5 clear days in advance of meetings.

4

DEPUTATIONS AND PETITIONS

NOTED that there were no deputations or petitions to be received at this meeting.

5

REVENUE MONITORING REPORT DECEMBER 2010

Councillor Andrew Stafford (Cabinet Member for Finance, Facilities and Human Resources) introduced the report of the Director of Finance and Corporate Resources (No.201) setting out the Council's revenue budget monitoring position for 2010/11 based on information to the end of December 2010.

NOTED

1. a correction to paragraph 1.1 of the report, the underspend including schools budgets is £1.434m, not £1.080m as stated in the report;
2. the revenue outturn projection of £399k underspend in 2010/11;
3. the identified pressures on the revenue budget as set out in the report;
4. the information provided with regard to Section 106 funding agreements, as set out in paragraph 6.3 of the report. A full review of all outstanding Section 106 obligations was being carried out and a detailed analysis would be available next month;
5. the detailed risk analysis outlined in the report. There had been no deterioration in the financial position of the Authority.

Alternative Options Considered: Not applicable to this report.

DECISION: The Cabinet agreed that Departments reporting pressures should continue to take actions to ensure that they remain within budget in 2010/11.

Reason: To ensure that Members were aware of the projected budgetary position for the Authority, including all major budget pressures and underspends which had contributed to the present monthly position and that were likely to affect the final outturn.

(Key decision – reference number 3196)

6

CAPITAL PROGRAMME MONITOR THIRD QUARTER DECEMBER 2010 - BUDGET YEAR 2010-11

Councillor Andrew Stafford (Cabinet Member for Finance, Facilities and Human Resources) introduced the report of the Director of Finance and Corporate Resources (No.202) informing Members of the current position regarding the Council's 2010 to 2015 capital programme taking into account the latest monitoring information on the progress of the schemes.

NOTED that a full review of all capital schemes had taken place and the capital programme had been re-profiled. This process had resulted in over £12m of schemes being stopped, saving the Council over £1m in ongoing revenue costs. The report showed that the overall expenditure was projected to be £116.6m which was in line with the re-profiled budget.

Alternative Options Considered: None stated.

DECISION: The Cabinet

1. agreed the forecast outturn detailed in Appendix A of the report, with spend to date as set out in Appendix B of the report;
2. agreed the revisions to the budget of £47.021m as set out in Table 1 of the report.

Reason: To review and monitor the Council's capital programme.

(Key decision – reference number 3245)

7

EMPTY PROPERTY COMPULSORY PURCHASE ORDERS (CPO VI)

Councillor Ahmet Oykenner (Cabinet Member for Housing and Area Improvements) introduced the report of the Director of Health, Housing and Adult Social Care (No.203) seeking authorisation to make compulsory purchase orders on three empty residential properties whose owners had proved un-responsive to attempts by Officers to bring them back into residential use.

NOTED the considerable amount of work which had been undertaken by Officers to date in seeking to bring the properties concerned back into residential use, as detailed in the report.

Alternative Options Considered: All attempts to negotiate with, and assist the owners of these properties to return them back into use had been exhausted. The other option the Council might pursue was to do nothing (section 4 of the report referred).

RECOMMENDED TO COUNCIL to authorise

1. the making of three compulsory purchase orders (Orders) in respect of the following properties under Section 17 of the Housing Act 1985 and the Acquisition of Land Act 1981 (as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004): 11 St John's Terrace, EN2 9AQ, 35 Kingsway, EN3 4HS, and 42 Lion Road, N9 9DW, as shown on the plans (appendices 1 – 3 of the report);
2. the preparation of Orders, and supporting documentation and the taking of all necessary steps (including the conduct of a Public Inquiry if necessary) to obtain confirmation of the Orders by the Secretary of State;
3. the acquisition of the properties (either compulsorily or by agreement) following confirmation of the Orders, the payment of compensation and statutory interest and the instituting or defending of proceedings where necessary; and
4. the disposal of the properties in accordance with the Property Procedure Rules.

Reason: The compulsory purchase of the above properties, and their subsequent onward sale, would produce a quantitative and qualitative gain to the borough's housing stock, would assist in the achievement of the Council's housing strategies and would turn existing eyesores into much needed homes. They would address the Council's strategic supply, regeneration and sustainability objectives, together with the Mayor of London's expectations cited in the report.

(Key decision – reference number 3228)

8

2010/11 QUARTER 3 PERFORMANCE OUTTURN REPORT

Councillor Achilleas Georgiou (Deputy Leader, Public and Service Delivery) introduced the report of the Chief Executive (No.204) presenting the overview of performance information for the third quarter of 2010/11.

NOTED

1. the progress made towards delivering the identified key priority indicators for Enfield;
2. that the majority of indicators were performing well. Members noted the current position and that some areas required further improvement.

Alternative Options Considered: None.

Reason: To update Cabinet on the progress made against all key priority performance indicators for the Council and Enfield Strategic Partnership.

(Key decision – reference number 3193)

9

SETTING UP THE COUNCIL'S TRADING COMPANY

Councillor Andrew Stafford (Cabinet Member for Finance, Facilities and Human Resources) introduced the report of the Director of Finance and Corporate Resources (No.205) proposing the establishment of the Council's trading company, wholly owned by the London Borough of Enfield, to commence trading in April 2011.

NOTED

1. that Section 95 of the Local Government Act 2003 permits local authorities to trade commercially in their normal functions with the mechanism of a trading company, as detailed in the report;
2. that the London Borough of Enfield provided a range of high quality services with a reputation for excellence in the public and private sectors such as Community Alarm and Enfield Public Safety Centre;
3. the rationale for setting up a trading company as set out in section 3.2 of the report. The proposed name for the Company was New River Services Ltd. The company would raise income for the Council, provide greater opportunities for staff, help to protect jobs and share services in other authorities;
4. the proposals for the trading company outlined in section 3.3 of the report, including governance arrangements, services, staffing, legal issues and service level agreements. The cost for setting up the trading company would be approximately £85k.

Alternative Options Considered: NOTED that there were many options open to Councils to sell their services, develop staff and raise income, as detailed in section 4 of the report.

RECOMMENDED TO THE COUNCIL that

1. the Council's Trading Company is established following Council approval in April 2011;
2. the Trading Company is registered under the name New River Services Ltd.
3. the transfer of services to the Trading Company is agreed by the Trading Company's management board and the relevant Cabinet Members in accordance with the Council's Scheme of Delegation.
4. the governance and legal structures of the Trading Company – including the day to day management of performance and budgets and the structure and membership of the Management Board – are established as outlined in the body of the report (paragraph 3.3 of the report referred). Cabinet would agree the third member to serve on the Board (paragraph 3.3.1 of the report referred).

Cabinet agreed to nominate the third member prior to the full Council meeting.

Reason: The full reasons for the recommendations were set out in section 5 of the report.

(Key decision – reference number 3190)

10

APPROVAL OF THE INTER AUTHORITY AGREEMENT STATEMENT OF PRINCIPLES BETWEEN THE NORTH LONDON WASTE AUTHORITY AND ENFIELD COUNCIL

Councillor Chris Bond (Cabinet Member for Environment, Street Scene and Parks) introduced the report of the Director of Environment (No.206) setting out the 12 key principles forming the basis for an Inter Authority Agreement (IAA) between the North London Waste Authority (NLWA) and its seven constituent Boroughs, of which Enfield is one.

NOTED

1. that all of the other Boroughs involved, with the exception of Barnet, had already signed up to the Principles. Barnet had indicated that they would be supporting the Principle of Agreement;
2. that further key decisions relating to the Inter Authority Agreement would be presented to the Cabinet in due course.

Alternative Options Considered: NOTED the alternative options considered as set out in section 4 of the report.

DECISION: The Cabinet

1. agreed to adopt the 12 principles set out in Appendix 1 (principles 4 and 8 as amended in the report) and to delegate authority for approval of any minor amendments to the Cabinet Member for Environment, Street Scene and Parks;
2. agreed that further key decisions relating to the IAA as it develops would be brought back to the Cabinet;
3. agreed to delegate authority to the Cabinet Member for Environment, Street Scene and Parks in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Finance, Facilities and Human Resources in consultation with the Director of Environment, to approve the tonnage projections for the new contract to inform the procurement process ahead of June 2012;
4. noted that the fully developed IAA would be agreed by full Council prior to financial close.

Reason: The full reasons for the recommendations were as set out in section 5 of the report.

(Key decision – reference number 3129)

11

LONDON LIVING WAGE

Councillor Doug Taylor (Leader of the Council) introduced the report of the Chief Executive (No.207) seeking approval to adopt the London Living Wage for all Council employees and that the London Living Wage is promoted in the letting of all future Council contracts.

NOTED

1. that Members supported the implementation of the London Living Wage for all Council employees. The majority of all directly employed permanent staff were paid a rate above the London Living Wage and this change would only affect a small group of staff, as detailed in the report. This proposal supported the Council priority of Fairness for All;
2. the position with regard to agency workers, as set out in the report.

Alternative Options Considered: None.

DECISION: The Cabinet agreed to support the establishment of the London living wage, set at a level calculated by the Living Wage Unit (currently £7.85 per hour) to avoid poverty wages being paid within the capital. All Council employees (not on a training contract) should receive at least the London Living Wage. Where appropriate the Council would promote the adoption of the concept of the London Living Wage amongst its contractors and suppliers.

Reason: To demonstrate the Council's commitment to the London Living Wage. The potential benefits had been described in the main body of the report.

(Non-key)

12

LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN 2011/12 - SETTLEMENT AND PROGRAMME OF WORKS

Councillor Chris Bond (Cabinet Member for Environment, Street Scene and Parks) introduced the report of the Director of Environment (No.208) giving details of the settlement for Enfield from TfL, announced in December 2010, for transport related spending within the Local Implementation Plan for 2011/12 and outlining the Council's proposed programme of transport schemes.

NOTED

1. the significant programme of works for 2011/12 and the level of flexibility built into the programme. Members noted the schemes, as set out in the report, including Smarter Travel and the introduction of 20mph zones. The schemes were covering both the East and West of the Borough;
2. the major schemes detailed in table 6 of the report.

Alternative Options Considered: None. The LIP was a statutory requirement arising from GLA Act 1999. The allocations detailed in section 5 were confirmed by TfL in response to Enfield's submission of funding requests, in October 2010. The programmes of work detailed in section 6 of the report correspond to the priorities stated by Enfield in the draft consultation version of the LIP submitted to TfL in December 2010.

DECISION: The Cabinet agreed to approve the following

1. the expenditure of £780,000 the programme and schemes for the Principal Road Renewal and Maintenance for 2011/12 as given in Table 2 in section 6 of the report;
2. the proposals submitted for works on Bridges in 2011/12 as given in Table 3 in Section 6 of the report;
3. the expenditure of £2,860,000, the programme and schemes for Corridors and Neighbourhoods for 2011/12 as given in Table 4 in section 6 of the report and associated consultation and Traffic Management Orders subject to agreement with the Cabinet Member for Environment, Street Scene and Parks;

CABINET - 9.3.2011

4. the expenditure of £390,000, the programme and schemes for Smarter Travel for 2011/12 as given in Table 5 in section 6 of the report;
5. the expenditure of £1,310,000 for the three (Area Based) Major Schemes Programme for 2011/12 allocated by TfL in December 2010, given in Table 6 in section 6 of the report;
6. noted the provision for the expenditure of £100,000, the programme and schemes for local transport expenditure for 2011/12. This had not been allocated against any programme and could be spent as the Council wishes providing that the scheme(s) remain in accordance with the Mayor's transport priorities;
7. delegation of authority to the Cabinet Member for Environment, Street Scene and Parks to agree variations to the approved programme of works and the areas of expenditure for the above.

Reason: To give details of the settlement for transport related spending within the Local Implementation Plan (LIP) for 2011/12 and outlines the implications for the Council's programme of transport schemes. It seeks the necessary financial and other approvals so that work can start in April 2011.

(Key decision – reference number 3222)

13

AREA FORUM REVIEW

Councillor Achilleas Georgiou (Deputy Leader, Public and Service Delivery) introduced the report of the Director of Finance and Corporate Resources (No.209) dealing with a review of the Council's Area Forums.

NOTED

1. that the proposals had previously been considered by the Governance Review Group at its meeting on 15 February 2011;
2. that the review and consultation undertaken had sought ways in which the Area Forums could be used more effectively and to encourage increased and wider attendance at the meetings;
3. the feedback received during the consultation had been taken into consideration when drawing up the proposals for the future. Residents had indicated that they wished to have a greater level of engagement with their local Ward Councillors;
4. the responses which had been received from individual Councillors, as advised by Councillor Georgiou;
5. in recommending the following options to Council, Members also indicated the need for some flexibility within the individual Area Forums to meet their particular needs, for example, the preferred seating

arrangements, venues and attendees. A greater level of engagement with Ward Councillors would be encouraged through, for example, by dividing the meeting into individual Wards for part of the Area Forum meeting.

Alternative Options Considered: As detailed in the body of the report.

RECOMMENDED TO THE COUNCIL that the following options are adopted:

1. **Developing Role of Area Forums** – Option 3 in Table 1 of the report. This would allow the Council to retain elements of the current format of the meeting but adding issues such as developing links with CAPEs, attendance by Enfield Homes and Youth Area Forums.
2. **Structure of Area Forums** - Option 3 in Table 2 of the report. This would allow retention of 3 wards per Area Forum across the Borough but would permit the clusters to be changed to reflect views received from residents and Members.
3. **Frequency and Location of Area Forums** - Option 4 in Table 3 of the report. This would allow the Area Forums to continue to meet 4 times a year but additional venues would be sought where practical.
4. **Format Including Seating and Provision of Information.** Option 3 in Table 4 of the report is favoured. This provides for a change to allow information to be provided in advance. The seating would also change to a style that allows round table discussion.

Reason: As detailed in full in section 6 of the report.
(Key decision – reference number 3236)

14

BUSINESS RATE HARDSHIP RATE RELIEF

Councillor Andrew Stafford (Cabinet Member for Finance, Facilities and Human Resources) introduced the report of the Director of Finance and Corporate Resources (No.210) setting out a revised proposal for a Business Rate Hardship Relief scheme in the light of proposed changes to business rate administration set out in the Localism Bill and published on 13 December 2010.

NOTED that given the changes detailed in the report, the rate relief scheme previously agreed in November 2010 had been amended to introduce a more representative pilot scheme. The comments which had been received had been taken into consideration and the scheme had been simplified. The revised scheme complied with the Localism Bill.

Alternative Options Considered: The report sets out a pilot scheme to monitor the application of rate relief in the borough's poorest area where there is the greatest concentration of need amongst small businesses, with a view to its extension after a year, if successful. The Council could not justify extending the scheme borough-wide without monitoring and evaluating the impact of an initial pilot scheme.

DECISION: The Cabinet agreed

1. the proposed Hardship Rate Relief scheme as set out in Appendix 1 of the report;
2. the financial assessment criteria to be used to evaluate applications detailed in Appendix 2 of the report;
3. the pilot scheme evaluation criteria set out in Appendix 3 of the report;
4. the proposed pilot ward based on the index of multiple deprivation as recommended in paragraph 3.5 of the report.

Reason: To help local businesses and the local community. To enhance the additional Government rate relief to small businesses which also recognises the importance of business to the whole community.

(Key decision – reference number 3237)

15

LADDERSWOOD PLACE SHAPING PROGRAMME: THE INCLUSION OF LORNE HOUSE AND ROBERTS HOUSE IN THE LADDERSWOOD REDEVELOPMENT

Councillor Del Goddard (Cabinet Member for Regeneration and Improving Localities) introduced the report of the Director of Health, Housing and Adult Social Care and Director of Regeneration, Leisure and Culture (No.211) considering whether to retain Lorne House and Roberts House or whether to include them in the redevelopment plans for the area.

NOTED

1. that Enfield Homes had confirmed that 31 of the 35 homes in Lorne House and Roberts House were non decent and would require works to bring them up to standard. Funding for the four year Decent Homes programme had recently been announced. The Council had a significant shortfall in funding to bring the Borough's stock up to the Decent Homes standard (paragraphs 3.10 and 3.11 of the report referred);

CABINET - 9.3.2011

2. that extensive discussions had taken place with residents and a full consultation exercise had been implemented. Should Lorne House and Roberts House be included in the redevelopment, the Council would make a number of commitments to the secure tenants, as detailed in paragraph 3.22 of the report;
3. that there were a number of vulnerable residents living within the two blocks, their particular needs would be taken into account and appropriate support provided to them;
4. the number of tenants in favour of the inclusion of the blocks in the redevelopment, paragraphs 3.40 and 3.41 of the report referred. The Council had also ensured that the consultation process followed had been fair and accurate; an independent tenant and leasehold advisor had been employed to check and confirm this;
5. that the Council would continue to work with residents to meet their individual needs and ensure a smooth transition for them;
6. that the Council had approached both bidding Development Partners to secure a further commitment that a specific apartment block within the new development could be identified at an early stage for re-housing residents from Lorne House and Roberts House who wished to remain close to their neighbours (paragraph 3.26 of the report referred);
7. that Councillor Taylor had received an email from Mr Weeks, a resident leaseholder, a block representative for Lorne House and a member of the Ladderswood Resident Panel. Mr Weeks was opposed to the inclusion of the blocks in the redevelopment of Ladderswood. Councillor Taylor acknowledged the points which had been raised. Mr Weeks was provided with a written response to the issues stated in the e mail and was invited to consider the response and if he wished, to make any further comments in writing which could then be responded to appropriately;
8. that the consultation exercise had been extensive and every effort had been made to seek options for the benefit of all concerned;
9. Councillor Goddard informed Cabinet that an Independent Tenant and Leasehold Advisor had been commissioned to appraise the effectiveness and appropriateness of the consultation and engagement programme carried out by the Council;
10. a sample survey, which included at least 30% of the residents who had indicated their position as in favour of the inclusion of the two blocks and 30% of the residents who were not in favour, was completed. In summary, out of the 10 people surveyed who were recorded as in favour, 9 confirmed that to be their position whilst 1 had changed to against. Of the 3 people surveyed who were recorded previously as against, 2 continued to be against whilst the third was now in favour;

CABINET - 9.3.2011

11. the independent survey indicated that 77% of respondents believed that they had enough information to make an informed choice and 85% felt that the level of consultation was appropriate.

Councillor Goddard extended his thanks and appreciation to all those who had been involved in the consultation work to date.

Alternative Options Considered: NOTED the alternative options which had been considered as set out in section 4 of the report.

DECISION: The Cabinet

1. agreed to include Lorne House and Roberts House in the Ladderswood redevelopment;
2. agreed to endorse the commitments to Lorne House and Roberts House residents as set out in 3.22 of the report;
3. agreed to authorise Property Service to agree terms for the purchase for the leasehold properties included in Lorne House and Roberts House on behalf of the Council and to authorise Legal Services to complete the purchases;
4. agreed to authorise the issuing of Ground 10 and Demolition Notices under the Housing Act 1985 in respect of tenanted properties on the Ladderswood Estate which consist of Lorne House and Roberts House;
5. agreed to the decant of secure tenants from Lorne House and Roberts House.

Reason: To respond to the majority of residents living in Lorne House and Roberts House. To enable the regeneration of Ladderswood to achieve its vision of creating a truly attractive, enjoyable and sustainable place for people to live and work. To enable the Council to close the procurement of a preferred development partner for Ladderswood. To mitigate the risk that cuts to the Decent Homes budget results in the refurbishment works to kitchens and bathrooms not being carried out.

(Key decision – reference number 3219)

16

LADDERSWOOD PLACE SHAPING PROGRAMME: COMPULSORY PURCHASE ORDER AND SHARED EQUITY OPTION REPORT

Councillor Del Goddard (Cabinet Member for Regeneration and Improving Localities) introduced the report of the Director of Health, Housing and Adult Social Care and Director of Regeneration, Leisure and Culture (No.212) recommending the making of the Ladderswood and New Southgate Industrial estate compulsory purchase order 2011.

NOTED

1. that Report No.213 also referred, as detailed in Minute No.27 below:
2. that the Compulsory Purchase Orders would only be required should the Council fail to reach negotiated settlements on the properties concerned.

Alternative Options Considered: An alternative option considered was for the Council not to make a CPO for Ladderswood and the New Southgate Industrial estate. The development partner would then lead on leasehold buybacks. Two major risks with this approach are that firstly, the development partner may have to pay above market rate to complete negotiations with individual leaseholders and secondly, that there will remain a high risk that negotiation with all the remaining leaseholders might not be successful and vacant possession cannot be secure.

RECOMMENDED TO COUNCIL

1. to note the statement of reasons attached to the report which set out the justification for making the Ladderswood and New Southgate Industrial estate CPO 2011;
2. to note the indicative timeline for the CPO process for the Ladderswood and New Southgate Industrial Estate CPO 2011 attached to the report;
3. to agree to approve the making of the Ladderswood and New Southgate Industrial Estate CPO 2011 under section 226(1)(a) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (the "1990 Act");
4. to agree to authorise the Director of Health, Housing and Adult Social Care to take all the necessary steps consequent to the making of the Ladderswood and New Southgate Industrial Estate CPO 2011;
5. to agree to authorise the inclusion of a limited number of shared equity properties in the development as an alternative purchase option for leaseholders on the Ladderswood Estate.

Reason: To provide the Council with a legal option to ensure that vacant possession of the site could be achieved to enable the regeneration of the area could take place, as detailed in section 5 of the report.

(Key decision – reference number 3166)

17

ISSUES ARISING FROM THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PANEL/SCRUTINY PANELS

There were no issues arising.

18

ITEMS TO BE REFERRED TO THE COUNCIL

AGREED that the following items be referred to the Council:

1. Report No.203 – Empty Property Compulsory Purchase Orders (CPO VI)
2. Report No.205 – Setting Up the Council's Trading Company
3. Report No.209 – Area Forum Review
4. Report Nos 212 and 213 – Ladderswood Place Shaping Programme: Compulsory Purchase Order and Shared Equity Option Report

NOTED that in future this item would appear at the beginning of the Cabinet agendas and would indicate which reports should be referred up to full Council.

19

CABINET AGENDA PLANNING - FUTURE ITEMS

NOTED the provisional list of items scheduled for future Cabinet meetings. This list was subject to further change.

20

KEY DECISIONS FOR INCLUSION ON THE COUNCIL'S FORWARD PLAN

NOTED that the next Forward Plan was due to be published on 15 March 2011, this would cover the period from 1 April to 31 July 2011.

21

MINUTES OF LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK CABINET SUB-COMMITTEE - 8 FEBRUARY 2011

NOTED the minutes of a meeting of the Local Development Framework Cabinet Sub-Committee held on 8 February 2011.

22

MINUTES OF HEALTH CABINET SUB-COMMITTEE - 16 FEBRUARY 2011

NOTED

1. the minutes of a meeting of the Health Cabinet Sub-Committee held on 16 February 2011;
2. that the date of the meeting with the Secretary of State, referred to in Minute No.4 (2), had been changed and was now due to take place on 10 March 2011;

CABINET - 9.3.2011

3. that an appointment had recently been made to the post of Joint Commissioner. This post would work closely with the Enfield Borough Director, when an appointment had been made. The appropriate location for the officers concerned was currently under consideration.

**23
MINUTES**

AGREED that the minutes of the previous meeting of the Cabinet held on 9 February 2011 be confirmed and signed by the Chairman as a correct record.

**24
ENFIELD STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP FEEDBACK**

NOTED that there had been no recent meeting of the Enfield Strategic Partnership.

**25
DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

NOTED that the next meeting of the Cabinet was scheduled to take place on Wednesday 27 April 2011 at **7.00pm** at the Civic Centre.

The meeting previously scheduled to take place on Wednesday 30 March 2011 had been cancelled.

**26
EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC**

RESOLVED in accordance with Section 100A (4) of the Local Government Act 1972 to exclude the press and public from the meeting for the item of business listed on part 2 of the agenda on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of confidential information as defined in Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Act (as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006).

**27
LADDERSWOOD PLACE SHAPING PROGRAMME: COMPULSORY PURCHASE ORDER AND SHARED EQUITY OPTION REPORT**

Councillor Del Goddard (Cabinet Member for Regeneration and Improving Localities) introduced the report of the Director of Health, Housing and Adult Social Care and Director of Regeneration, Leisure and Culture (No.213) containing commercially sensitive information with regard to the Compulsory Purchase Order.

NOTED

1. that Report No.212 also refers, as detailed in Minute No.16 above;

CABINET - 9.3.2011

2. the additional commercially sensitive information with regard to the compulsory purchase order programme and in support of the decisions detailed in Minute No.16 above;
3. in response to a question raised by Councillor Ayfer Orhan, the processes adopted with regard to considering the human rights of individuals affected by potential compulsory purchase orders were outlined for Members' information.

Alternative Options Considered: As detailed in Report No.212, Minute No.16 above refers.

Reason: As detailed in Report No.212, Minute No.16 above refers.
(Key decision – reference number 3166)

(Exempt information as defined in Paragraph 3 (information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information)) of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972 as amended).